

## The Republic of Haiti

This one-pager is part of a broader project<sup>1</sup> examining how gender considerations are reflected in fisheries governance. A systematic content analysis of fisheries governance documents from 20 countries assessed how gender is addressed across policy language, institutional arrangements, objectives, and strategies. Project findings are intended to strengthen recognition of women's contributions across the sector and support equitable access to marine resources, share of benefits, and participation in decision-making.

Each one-pager summarizes key findings for a focal country, analysing fisheries governance documents as a collective policy bundle to identify system-level patterns. The analysis draws on documents collected up to May 2025, and the findings inform both this one-pager and the governance section of the corresponding country fact sheet.<sup>2</sup>

Taken individually or collectively across the 20 countries, these one-pagers aim to inform decision-makers, civil society actors, funders, and researchers by providing insights on gender considerations in fisheries governance.

Area of Analysis	Country Findings
<b>Total number of documents analysed</b>	4
<b>Number of documents with women/gender terms only</b>	2
<b>Number of documents with she/her pronouns only</b>	0
<b>Number of documents with both terms and pronouns</b>	0
<i>Qualitative assessments are based only on documents with women/gender terms (2), she/her pronouns (0), or both (0).</i>	
<b>Structural integration</b> <i>Low / Medium / High</i>	<b>High:</b> Gender inclusion is embedded within fisheries development and management frameworks through explicit objectives and quantified representation requirements that give gender considerations operational standing.
<b>Objective of gender integration</b> <i>Ecological / Economic / Social</i>	<b>Economic and Social (primary):</b> Women's inclusion supports poverty reduction, income generation, and equitable participation across fisheries value chains, particularly in post-harvest activities. <b>Ecological (secondary):</b> Recognition of women's roles in processing, marketing, and conservation contributes to effective fisheries management and sustainability.
<b>Approach to gender integration</b> <i>Reach / Benefit / Empower / Transform</i>	<b>Benefit and Empower:</b> Gender considerations are advanced through access to training, income-generation programs, and minimum representation thresholds within governance structures.

# Methodology Snapshot

## Qualitative Assessment Framework

Qualitative assessments reflect how gender considerations are articulated within the reviewed documents. They are derived from content analysis of texts referencing women, gender, or feminine pronouns. The categories below outline the criteria guiding this framework.

Structural integration (extent of institutional embedding)

- *Low* – Gender considerations appear in governance texts without shaping policy goals or structures.
- *Medium* – Gender considerations inform policy goals or institutional commitments without binding mandates.
- *High* – Gender considerations are embedded in binding mandates, quantified targets, or formal representation.

Objective of gender integration (intended aim)

- *Ecological* – Linked to sustainability or natural resources management effectiveness.
- *Economic* – Linked to livelihoods, income generation, or value chains.
- *Social* – Linked to equity, participation, or women's rights.

Approach to gender integration (mode of operationalization)

- *Reach* – Women are recognized, referenced, or included in consultation or governance processes.
- *Benefit* – Targeted resources improve women's access or material outcomes.
- *Empower* – Women hold decision-making representation or influence within governance processes.
- *Transform* – Institutional or structural reforms address underlying norms, power imbalances, or inequalities.

## Governance Document Selection Criteria and Content Search

Document selection criteria were established to identify fisheries-specific governance instruments addressing:

- fisheries management and regulations;
- fisheries production systems and value chains, including pre-harvest, harvest, processing, and trade activities;
- broader development and economic strategies explicitly linked to fisheries-sector activities.

Key gender-related terms – including “gender,” “women,” “girls,” “mothers,” “she,” and “her” – were systematically searched across the selected documents and analysed in context. For full methodology, see the accompanying metadata<sup>3</sup> document.

## Selected Fisheries Governance Documents for Analysis

Documents and key attributes are compiled in a project database<sup>4</sup>, with hyperlinks to original text where available.

*Environmental and Social Management Plan - Modernization Program of the Fisheries Sector 2015 (PGES).*

*National Program for the Development of Maritime Fishing in Haiti 2010-2014.*

*Order creating the Industrial Fishing Company of Haiti S.A. (SPIDHA).*

*Decree regulating the exercise of fishing rights in Haiti, 1978.*

---

**Citation:** Cutting, A., & Wabnitz, C.C.C. (2026). Gender and Fisheries Governance: Country Analysis – Haiti. Ocean Risk and Resilience Action Alliance.

**Funding:** This project was supported by the Ocean Risk and Resilience Action Alliance (ORRAA) and funded by the UK through the Department for Environment, Food, and Rural Affairs.

The opinions, findings, and conclusions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the organisations listed above.



---

<sup>1</sup> Project website – <https://oceanrisk.earth/fact-sheets/>

<sup>2</sup> Country fact sheet – <https://oceanrisk.earth/fact-sheets/#the-republic-of-haiti>

<sup>3</sup> Metadata document – <https://oceanrisk.earth/fact-sheets/-supplementary-material-for-country-fact-sheets>

<sup>4</sup> Zenodo database – Cutting, A., Wabnitz, C.C.C., Woodhead, A.J., & Norström, A. (2025). Database of Fisheries Governance Documents for Gender Equity Analysis [Data set]. Ocean Risk and Resilience Action Alliance. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15098509>